





DESIGN II, MID-SEMESTER DESIGN REVIEW

The purpose of the Design II, mid-semester presentation is to inform the audience of the progress made on design refinements from Design I, progress in formulating a comprehensive test plan for the finished product, and progress on packaging of the final product.

Team: Electromagnetic Blood Flow Meter			Reviewer: _____	
 Brian McCaleb	 Nashlie Sephus	 Kyle Eubanks	 Taffa Porter	

All numerical grading is on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high). Please make *constructive comments* in the space provided.

<i>Presentation Gradings (Individual)</i>	Brian McCaleb (1 to 5 score)	Nashlie Sephus (1 to 5 score)	Kyle Eubanks (1 to 5 score)	Taffa porter (1 to 5 score)
1. Presentation Manner (Connection with the audience, eye contact, clearly heard, fluid, no distracting mannerisms, engaging/dynamic for audience)				
2. Graphics, Presentation Material (professional slides, balanced high-level and technical material, used time wisely)				

<i>Presentation Comments (Individual)</i>	Comment
Brian McCaleb	
Nashlie Sephus	
Kyle Eubanks	
Taffa Porter	

Reviewers: You are not expected to enter comments in all of the spaces that are provided; these spaces are provided for your convenience.

DESIGN II, MID-SEMESTER DESIGN REVIEW

Team: Electromagnetic Blood Flow Meter

Reviewer: _____

<i>Technical Gradings (entire Team)</i>	Numeric Score
3. Problem lucidly stated with a clear visualization of the solution and an emphasis on the changes/refinements from Design 1; technical specifications listed and explained within problem context	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
4. Evidence of effective teaming in test plan formulation, design refinement, and packaging	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
5. The presentation discusses project concerns in the following areas: Economic and Manufacturability	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
6. Test plan presented for testing of the final product with respect to product technical specifications and solution of the stated problem	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
7. Sufficient progress made in executing the design refinements/changes from Design 1 as supported by visual evidence and test data	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	
8. Sufficient progress in packaging of final design as supported by printed circuit board design data, housings for subsystems, etc.	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
Comment:	